## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BATSAIHAN PURVEEGIIN : CIVIL ACTION

:

v.

:

"USCA 3<sup>RD</sup> CIRCUIT COURTS

CORRUPT ADMINISTRATIVES," et al.: NO. 06-cv-04580-JF

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Fullam, Sr. J. October 18, 2006

On October 13, 2006, plaintiff, Batsaihan Purveegiin — a frequent litigator in this and other courts — filed a pro se complaint against the Third Circuit Court of Appeals and various members of the staff of that Court. It appears that Mr.

Purveegiin was unhappy with the way in which the Court of Appeals was handling certain pending appeals in his cases, particularly an appeal from a District Court decision in the Middle District of Pennsylvania which had conditionally granted his application for habeas corpus relief. Among other things, plaintiff asserts that the individual defendants acted improperly when they ordered him to refrain from further abusive telephone calls to the Court of Appeals, and insisted that all further communications be in written form. Mr. Purveegiin apparently feels that his courtappointed lawyer had either seduced, or been seduced by, members of the Court of Appeals staff.

The case is now before this court on Mr. Purveegiin's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Although it

is clear that Mr. Purveegiin is without financial means, and should be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis if he had a potentially meritorious case, it is obvious that the present lawsuit is legally frivolous. A District Court does not have jurisdiction to interfere in the operations of the Court of Appeals. In the unlikely event that plaintiff has any valid claims concerning the handling of his appeals by the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, he should address his complaints to that Court.

An Order follows.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BATSAIHAN PURVEEGIIN : CIVIL ACTION

:

v.

:

"USCA 3<sup>RD</sup> CIRCUIT COURTS :

CORRUPT ADMINISTRATIVES, " et al.: NO. 06-cv-04580-JF

## ORDER

AND NOW, this  $18^{\text{th}}$  day of October 2006, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED.
- 2. This action is DISMISSED, as legally frivolous. The Clerk is directed to close the file.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ John P. Fullam
John P. Fullam, Sr. J.